Incremental Adaptation of Speech-to-Speech Translation Nguyen Bach, Roger Hsiao, Matthias Eck, Paisarn Charoenpornsawat, Stephan Vogel, Tanja Schultz, Ian Lane, Alex Waibel and Alan W. Black

## Introduction

As speech-to-speech translation systems move from the laboratory into field deployment, we quickly see that mismatch in training data with field use can degrade the performance of the system. Retraining based on field usage is a common technique used in speech systems to improve performance. In the case of speech-to-speech translation we would particularly like to be able to adapt the system based on its usage automatically without having to ship data back to the laboratory for retraining. This paper investigates the scenario of a two-day event. We wish to improve the system for the second day based on the data collected on the first day.

## **Data Scenario**

- ASR and MT was trained on data from >> English-Iraqi force protection and civil affairs dialogs.
- users change their language Most  $\rightarrow$ when using an S2S automatic translation system.
- Users switch to a clearer pronunciation  $\rightarrow$ and use shorter and simpler sentences with less disfluency.

Collect data in two days, with around 2 hours of actual speech per day. This data was transcribed and translated, resulting in 864 and 824 utterance pairs on day 1 and 2, respectively.





{nbach, wrhsiao, matteck, paisarn, stephan.vogel, tanja, ianlane, ahw, awb}@cs.cmu.edu

# **ASR LM Adaptation**



Impact of ASR adaptation to SMT



#### **Unsupervised**:

the ASR Use hypotheses from day 1 to build a LM. Interpolated with the original LM 3gram to produce an adapted LM for day 2.

### Supervised:

Having transcribers provide accurate transcription references for day 1 data, and see how it may improve the performance on day 2.

## **Joint Adaptation**

ASR	SMT	Day 1	Da
No adaptation	No adaptation	29.39	27.
Jnsupervised ASR adaptation with I gramLM ASR hypo	1gramLM 500-Best MT hypo	32.07	28.
	1gramLM MT Ref	31.76	28.
upervised ASR daptation with gramLM transcription	1gramLM 500-Best MT Hypo	32.48	28.
	1gramLM MT Ref	32.68	28





## **Selective Adaptation**

translation the Take hypotheses on day 1 of the baseline SMT and compare them with translation references, then select sentences which have BLEU scores higher than a threshold.

2. The subset of day 1 sentences is used to perform supervised adaptation.

	No. Sents	Day 1	Day 2
Baseline		29.39	27.41
≥ 0	864	30.27	28.29
≥ 10	797	31.15	28.27
≥ 20	747	30.81	28.24
≥ 30	585	30.04	27.71
≥ 40	416	29.72	27.65
≥ 50	296	30.06	27.04
Correct	98	29.18	27.19

## Conclusions



>>

Improvement is possible using collected data for adaptation

The best results however still require producing translation references, notably ASR transcriptions do not seem to help, but may still be required in the process of generating translation references